# Before the **Federal Communications Commission** Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
Charter Communications, L.L.C.	)	CSR 5777-E
	)	
Petition for Determination of Effective	)	
Competition in Northport Alabama	)	

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: January 16, 2002 Released: January 17, 2002

By the Deputy Chief, Cable Services Bureau:

### I. INTRODUCTION

Charter Communications, L.L.C. ("Charter") has filed with the Commission a petition pursuant to Section 623(a)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Communications Act") and Sections 76.7(a)(1) and 76.905(b)(1) of the Commission's rules for a determination of effective competition in Northport, Alabama (the "Franchise Area"). Charter alleges that its cable system serving the Franchise Area is subject to effective competition and is therefore exempt from cable rate regulation. Charter claims the presence of effective competition in the Franchise Area because fewer than thirty percent of the households subscribe to the cable services of its cable system. No opposition to the petition was filed.

#### II. **DISCUSSION**

In the absence of a demonstration to the contrary, cable systems are presumed not to be subject to effective competition, as that term is defined by Section 76.905 of the Commission's rules. The cable operator bears the burden of rebutting the presumption that effective competition does not exist with evidence that effective competition is present within the relevant franchise area.<sup>3</sup> Section 623(1)(1)(A) of the Communications Act provides that a cable operator is subject to effective competition, and therefore exempt from cable rate regulation, if "fewer than 30 percent of the households in the franchise area subscribe to the cable service of the cable system."<sup>4</sup> For these purposes, the Commission accepts census data as an appropriate measure of households and defines households as occupied housing units.<sup>5</sup> The Commission has stated:

<sup>2</sup>47 C.F.R. § 76.905.

<sup>3</sup>See 47 C.F.R. §§ 76.906 & 907.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>47 C.F.R. § 76.906.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>47 U.S.C § 543(1)(1)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, 9 FCC Rcd 4316, 4325-26 (1994).

The first statutory test for effective competition is fulfilled when fewer that 30 percent of the households in the franchise area subscribe to the cable service of the cable system. The measurement of subscribership under this test will be based on the subscribership of the particular cable system in question, and not on the aggregation of the subscriberships of all cable systems and competitors in the franchise area.<sup>6</sup>

3. Charter submits sufficient evidence regarding household and subscriber data, which demonstrate that its cable system serves less than 30 percent of households in the Franchise Area. Charter provided 2000 Census Bureau household data that indicates that there are 7,844 households in its Franchise Area. Charter also provides the count of actual subscribers in the Franchise Area, which it used to calculate subscriber-to-household penetration ratios for the Franchise Area. The data establishes that Charter has a total of 693 subscribers in its Franchise Area of 7,844 households resulting in a penetration ratio of 8.8 percent. Based on this record, we conclude that Charter has demonstrated that its cable system meets the requirements of low penetration effective competition under our rules, and we grant its petition.

### III. ORDERING CLAUSES

- 4. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the petition for a determination of effective competition filed by Charter Communications, L.L.C. **IS GRANTED**.
- 5. This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated under Section 0.321 of the Commission's rules.<sup>8</sup>

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

William H. Johnson Deputy Chief, Cable Services Bureau

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See Implementation of Sections of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, 8 FCC Rcd 5631 (1993); 47 C.F.R § 76.915(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Petition at 2 and Exhibits 1 and 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 0.321.